

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,115	09/19/2003	LeNoir E. Zaiser	2173.2004-001	8421
Rodney D. Johr	7590 03/01/2007		. EXAM	INER
R.D. Johnson & Associates, P.C. 70 Walnut Street Wellesley Hills, MA 02481			CHAMBERS, A MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/666,115	ZAISER ET AL.	C		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	A. Michael Chambers	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the stensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· .		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2,4,5,7-22 and 27-29 is/are pending ir	n the application.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-26 and 30 is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,7-22 and 27-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).			
		on No			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau	•		3-		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	·				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🗀 Otilet	_			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is response to an amendment filed December 11, 2006. An informational disclosure statement (IDS) filed July 21, 2005, has previously been considered. Applicant's election of the claims of Group I (claims 1-22) without traverse is noted. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 23 have been cancelled. Claims 27-30 have been added. Figures 1-3 of the instant application are deemed **PRIOR ART** in view of applicant's disclosure in the instant application specification. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7-22 and 24-30 are pending. Nonelected claims of Group II (claims 23-26 and 30) are withdrawn from consideration. An action on the merits of claims 2, 4, 5, 7-22 and 27-29 is included in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 7, 10-13, 17, 18, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Figures 1 and 5 of Carter. Note the valve body 14 including a diaphragm 14a, a supply reservoir (for oxygen), an inlet having a restricted passageway and an outlet 24a. Note the enlarged passageway 122a which provides a increased pressure force on the diaphragm. The recited geometric dimensions and force recitations are deemed design choice and are easily a function of a predetermined parameters of the device of Carter. By applicants' own remarks, they state that "...with the knowledge of Carter (one) would simply chose a convenient nozzle

Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

diameter" which provides support that recited geometric dimensions and force recitations are deemed design choice. The timing gas chamber recitation is readable on the chamber controlled by pressure through 26a. Applicants' remarks were considered, however, not deemed persuasive. The recitation of "... without mechanical assistance..." has been added to the claims. The diaphragm of the differential pressure valve of Carter is spring (mechanical assistance) 118 biased, however, contrary to applicants' remarks the valve of carter would operate without it. Differential pressure due to the size of the area of the diaphragm exposed on the pressure actuation side and the size of the area of the diaphragm which valves (i.e., adjacent the nozzle) the nozzle would allow to open and close. Note the different embodiments (Figures 4 and 5, for example) which show varying disposition of the spring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/666,115

Art Unit: 3753

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co., 148 USPQ 459*, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 4

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 7. Claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 14-16, 19, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter in Danon. The claims are readable on the patent to Carter with the exception of a sintered bronze filter as taught by filter 12 of Danon. in order to filter the oxygen of device of Carter. Contrary to applicants' remarks, Danon clearly teaches a filter in a gas (oxygen) delivery passageway. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the valve of Carter to include a sintered filter as taught by Danon in order to provide a "filtered" passageway for the valved gas.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the patents cited in the IDS in particular: Zaiser et al (flow controller) and Frye et al (a gas flow device including a spring (Figure 7)).

Art Unit: 3753

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Michael Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4908. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Michael Chambers Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

amc 05/09/06